# 2016-2017 SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

## **Meadow Green Elementary School**

CDS Code: 19647666020192

Principal Liz Kaneshiro

Address 12025 Grovedale Drive Whittier, CA 90604

Telephone 562.902.4241

Website http://meadowgreen.ljsd.org/

#### **RESULTS FROM PREVIOUS YEAR'S GOALS**

#### Goal A:

- \* Annual Parent survey results from 2016 will indicate that 85% of parents agree that the school encourages students to be responsible citizens, an increase of 4% over 2015 results.
- \* Maintain a low suspension rate of less than 1.0% as indicated by school records for the 2015-2016 school year.

XMet Partially Met Not Met

#### We reviewed the following data:

- \*The Annual Parent Survey results for 2016 indicate that 99% of parents who responded to the survey felt that the school encouraged students to be responsible citizens. This was an increase of 18%.
- \*The suspension rate for the end of the 2016-2017 school year remained less than 1.0%

#### The results tell us:

Actively focusing on citizenship through a variety of programs on campus does have an impact on students that is recognized by parents. Those programs include a Character Education Program, a School Wide Discipline Plan, Positive Interventions and Supports Program (PBIS), Project Wisdom, Bucket Filling, the Great Kindness Challenge Week, and a Big Buddy Little Buddy Program. Multiple opportunities to learn and practice citizenship and recognition for those engaged in these efforts has a positive impact. These programs may have also contributed to a continuing low suspension rate.

#### Goal B:

By P2, Meadow Green will improve the attendance rate for the 2015-2016 school year by .5% from last school year to a rate of 96.7%.

XMet Partially Met Not Met

## We reviewed the following data:

The end of the school year cumulative attendance rate at Meadow Green for the 2015-2016 school year was 97.9%, a 1.2% increase.

#### The results tell us:

A multi-faceted approach to improving attendance netted positive results. Educating parents about the importance of attendance through newsletters and letters home informed parents of their responsibility for having their children in school. A SART and SARB process was implemented, reminding parents of their obligation for their child's attendance. The SART and SARB process kicked in once certain threshold of absenteeism or truancy are reached. Additionally, incentive programs for students included rewards and recognition for perfect attendance each trimester and class rewards for each ten days a class had perfect attendance.

#### Goal C:

By May of 2016, all students in grades 3-6 will demonstrate a minimum one year growth in their Lexile reading level as measured by their September base Lexile level.

Met XPartially Met Not Met

#### We reviewed the following data:

We reviewed and compared the Lexile grade level reading levels of students in grade 3-6 from the beginning of the school year to the end of the school year as measured on a Reading Plus assessment.

#### The results tell us:

Not all students met the Lexile reading goal of one years growth as measured on Reading Plus. Students who spent the recommended daily reading minutes were more likely to meet this goal. Students who did little reading made less progress. We need to find a way to encourage all of our student to become enthusiastic readers.

## Goal D:

50% of students in grades 3-6 will score at meets or exceeds standards on the CAASSP Smarter Balanced Test 2016 in the area of Math, an increase of 5% from the 2015 achievement rate.

XMet Partially Met Not Met

## We reviewed the following data:

We reviewed the CAASSP Smarter Balanced Test 2016 in the area of Math for students in grades 3-6 to see if 5% more of the students at Meadow Green met or exceeded the grade level standards. The data showed that 63% of Meadow Green students in grades 3-6 met or exceeded standards in the area of Math. This was an increase of 19% from the prior year.

## The results tell us:

The District standards based adoption of GO Math for the 2015-2016 school year was an asset in teaching Common Core mathematics. Monitoring students throughout the school year through formative and summative assessments, benchmark tests, and support computer program (ST Math) helped teachers track student progress and address areas of concern. A school wide focus on problem solving techniques benefited students by providing a tool box of strategies to use when approaching mathematical problems.

#### MISSION STATEMENT

Mission Statement

The mission of Meadow Green Elementary School is to encourage students and staff to seek excellence in academic achievement and personal conduct. We are committed to fostering student self-direction, self-motivation, self-discipline, and the ability to recognize the importance of learning. We believe that a close partnership between home, school, and community is essential to our students' success, in becoming productive citizens and life-long learners.

At Meadow Green Elementary School, we believe:

- that all students can learn, deserve access to a rich instructional program, and should have an equal opportunity to learn in a safe and nurturing environment.
- in teaching good citizenship skills and developing in students an appreciation of country.
- that all students are valuable and gain ability, self-esteem, and pride through their diligence, achievements and accomplishments.
- that the choices made today shape the world tomorrow.
- that kindness makes our school a better place.
- that hard work helps us reach our potential.

#### **SCHOOL PROFILE**

Meadow Green Elementary School, one of six schools in the Lowell Joint School District, is located in a suburban community in an unincorporated area of Los Angeles County. The current enrollment of Meadow Green is 521 students in special education preschool through grade six. The ethnic make-up of this population includes 68% Hispanic, 23% white, and 9% multi or other ethnic backgrounds. 40% percent of the students participate in the free or reduced lunch program. The average class size in grades K-3 is 25 and for grades 4-6 is 34. The Meadow Green staff consists of 21 certificated and 16 classified employees. Meadow Green is a single-track school that provides a regular kindergarten through sixth grade program, a special education preschool program, and a myriad of support programs and student opportunities that enhance our educational program. They include:

- Resource Specialist Program
- English Language Development Program (ELD)
- Speech and Language services for identified students
- Character Education Program
- Patriotic Program
- P.R.I.D.E. Recognition Program (Personal Responsibility in Daily Effort)
- Art Program for grades one through six
- Music Program
- Band for sixth graders

- Technology access through two Computer Labs, 100 iPads, three chrome book carts (108 chrome books) and a computer in every classroom
- Student Leadership Teams
- Kindness Pledge Program
- Play Buddies (typical students with special needs students)
- Upper Grade/Lower Grade Buddy Activities
- PTA-sponsored enrichment activities such as special assemblies, field trips, and student activities
- Opportunities for community service projects such as food and toy drives
- Family Nights
- Reading Night for Various Grade Levels
- Lexia Core5 (Grades K-2) and Reading Plus (Grades 3-6), and Front Row ELA and Math for all grade levels. These programs are available at school and online at home
- Accelerated Reader Grades 1 and 2
- MIND Institute ST Math Program school-wide
- Textbooks available online
- PBIS Positive Behavior Intervention Strategies Program

The instructional programs at Meadow Green are aligned to the California Standards. The goal for all students is to reach achievement at or above grade level in all curricular areas. Teachers, students, and parents all work toward this goal in a concerted effort to provide the highest quality educational program. Grade level collaborative leadership teams meet monthly for planning and collaboration. Academic programs are coordinated by grade level. The staff and School Site Council meet quarterly to review the goals in the School Plan for Student Achievement.

An English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) is in place for the 2016-2017 school year. This committee will meet quarterly to discuss the needs and concerns of English language learners.

Both the School Site Council (SSC) and ELAC are responsible for providing input and making decisions involving school programs, activities, and safety, and are the general forums for providing all parents with pertinent information from District committees.

Meadow Green Elementary School is committed to the safety and security of each student. To maintain these conditions, which contribute to the best possible learning environment, the School Safety Plan includes the following elements: School Crime Assessment, Child Abuse Reporting, Disaster Procedures, School Discipline, Sexual Harassment Policy, School-wide Discipline Plan, and School-wide Dress Code, all of which contribute to a safe and orderly environment. The rate of suspensions is very low and the use of drugs on campus is nonexistent. The school is in its first full year of implementation of PBIS - Positive Behavior Intervention Strategies Program

Meadow Green has one site administrator who has AB 75 certification. There is a half-time school psychologist and a district nurse who oversees all schools. Two full-time custodians provide services for the school. A full-time office manager and a part-time clerk manage office duties. Two part-time workers maintain food services. Twelve special education aids assist in the preschool and STAR Resource Center.

The staff supports curricular academic programs and social and emotional need of students and makes strong efforts to keep parents and guardians aware of students progress. Before and after school programs in ELA and math provide struggling students with additional academic support.

## STUDENT ENROLLMENT BY RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnic Group	%
African-American	2.3%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.0%
Asian	3.3%
Hispanic/Latino	68.3%
Pacific Islander	0.0%
Caucasian	22.8%
Multi-Racial	0.0%
Declined to State	%

## FOUR-YEAR ENROLLMENT DATA BY GRADE LEVEL

Grade	2012	2013	2014	2015
K	62	64	79	89
1st	62	60	77	59
2nd	70	69	59	74
3rd	62	70	67	58
4th	70	58	70	70
5th	63	67	63	71
6th	67	67	72	62
7th	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
8th	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
9th	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
10th	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
11th	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
12th	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA
Total	456	455	487	483

#### THE SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, LOCAL CONTROL ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN, AND DISTRICT STRATEGIC GOALS

#### Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)\*

The plan is designed to provide an analysis of student performance data, set priorities for program improvements, identify effective solution strategies, and provide ongoing monitoring of results.

## Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP)

Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all school districts and charter schools are required to prepare an LCAP, which describes how they intend to meet annual goals for all pupils in eight state priority areas:

LCAP Priority - Basic Services
LCAP Priority - Implementation of the Common Core State Standards
LCAP Priority - Parent Involvement
LCAP Priority - Student Achievement
LCAP Priority - Student Engagement
LCAP Priority - School Climate
LCAP Priority - Course Access
LCAP Priority - Other Student Outcomes

## **Strategic Goals**

GOAL #1

The Strategic Goals provide the essential framework required for the District to create a "roadmap" for a three year period. These goals are used to align District resources and enable the Governing Board to monitor progress made each year toward reaching the Vision, focusing on the Mission, living the Core Values, and accomplishing the Goals of the District.

	We expect all students to demonstrate continued and improved academic achievement, through communication, critical thinking, and creativity, to be college and career ready, and to become lifelong learners.
GOAL #2	A Highly Qualified Staff:
	We attract, train, and retain high performing staff who actively engage, collaborate, and support students in effective instruction and the
	use of current technologies.

**GOAL #3** Safe and Respectful Environment:

We foster a culture that promotes the emotional health, safety, well-being and involvement of students, staff, family and community

**GOAL #4** Family and Community Partnerships:

Academic Excellence:

We establish a culture which encourages positive relationships among our students, staff, and families as well as educational, business, and community partners. We believe these partnerships and communication must be nurtured to optimize opportunities for learning and personal growth for students.

## **GOAL #5** Fiscal Excellence:

We believe that efficiency, transparency, and cost-effective practices must characterize District and school operations to ensure that resources are aligned and applied to achieve established goals. We are committed to remaining fiscally solvent by effectively managing resources and pursuing new revenue sources

<sup>\*</sup>The SPSA is specifically designed to align with the state's eight priorities of the LCAP and LJSD's Strategic Goals.

Area 1	LCAP Priority - Basic Services		
Basic Services			
		Findings	Documentation
Teacher Misassignr	nent	0.0%	School Accountability Report Card
Facilities in Good R	epair	Good	Facility Inspection Tool Report
Student Access to S	tandards Aligned Instructional Materials	100%	School Accountability Report Card

<sup>\*</sup>Data from 2014-2015 School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

Area 2 LCAP Priority – Implementation of Common Co	.CAP Priority – Implementation of Common Core State Standards (CCSS)									
Implementation of Common Core State Standards										
Strengths	Areas for Growth									
learning. Frequent professional development opportunities for the entire state to the California Standards that is based on scientific research.	California 3.9 PLC meetings focus on application of Common Core to daily life and classroom instruction in math will focus on daily problem solving skills. The school identifies California Standards resources that support various levels									

ongoing basis during PLC meetings. This information is relayed to parents through progress reports, parent conferences, and report cards.

Area 3 LCAP Priority – Parent Involvement	
Annual Parent Survey	
Strengths	Areas for Growth
94% of the parents who responded to the Annual Parent Survey felt that the programs at Meadow Green prepared their child for the next level of learning to be college ready.  99% agreed that the school encourages students to be responsible citizens.  94% felt that their child has access to a balanced academic program including reading, language, mathematics, social studies, science, art, and physical education.	*Educate parents so that they are aware that not all discipline situations are the same and not all children are the same. At the elementary level, children are learning about getting along with others and the consequences for their

Parental Involvement	
Efforts to Seek Parent Input	Promotion of Parent Participation
Implementation of State Standards, Parent Involvement, School Climate, Student Engagement, Student Achievement, and Basic Services. Parents are	*The first grade teachers hold an annual informational reading night for
,	*A Parent Compact is sent home annually and stresses the importance of a
Input is also sought through our School Site Council. The council is comprised	,

of parents and community members and school staff with teachers being in success of the student. This is reviewed at parent Title I meetings. the majority of that group. Members of the School Site Council are chosen by \*Teachers solicit parent help for school projects and parents serve a room their peers. The School Site Council meets quarterly.

Meadow Green also has an ELAC (English Language Advisory Committee) that \*A school wide phone and email messaging system is used to keep parents is composed of EL parents. Committee members were nominated and elected informed of school events and activities. by their peers. They meet quarterly. A member of this ELAC committee will be \*A school monthly newsletter goes home with each child and is posed on the invited to serve on the District English Language Advisory Council.

Parent input is also sought through the PTA. The site administrator attends \*Annual parent conferences are held. monthly PTA meetings and provides a school report and is available to answer parent questions and address concerns.

parents.

school website along with a monthly calendar.

## Meadow Green Elementary School Title I Parental Involvement Policy

#### **VISION STATEMENT:**

Meadow Green School recognizes that parents/guardians are their children's first and most influential teachers and that continued parental involvement in the education of children contributes greatly to student achievement and conduct. A Parent Involvement Policy and program shall be an integral component of the school level plan that promotes a meaningful partnership between the school, the home, and the community.

#### **RESPONSIBILITIES**

The staff of Meadow Green School believes that the education of its students is a responsibility shared with parents. The school's primary responsibility shall be to provide a high-quality curriculum and instructional program in a supportive and effective learning environment that enables all students to meet the academic expectations set forth in the California State Standards. Parents shall have the responsibility and opportunity to work with the school in a mutually supportive and respectful partnership with the goal of helping their children succeed in school.

#### PROVIDING INFORMATION:

To ensure that parents/guardians of Title I children are consulted and participate in the planning, design, implementation and evaluation of Title I Programs, the school shall:

- Invite parents/guardians to serve on the School Site Council or Parent Teacher Association.
- Invite all parents/guardians of eligible children to attend an annual meeting to inform them about the school's participation in Title I, and the parents' right to be involved.
- Throughout the year, parents will receive specific information about Title I programs and program implementation in varied ways (i.e. meetings, newsletters, school bulletin boards, email, phone messages).
- The Principal and school staff shall provide timely information about curriculum, local and state assessment results, the proficiency levels students are expected to meet, and the status of the school's Annual Proficiency Index

(API) and Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). Parents will be informed on an ongoing basis about student support programs (i.e. Title I), classroom activities, and school events.

#### **BUILDING CAPACITY FOR PARENT INVOLVEMENT**

The school staff is committed to supporting partnerships by using the following six levels of involvement:

Parenting: We will promote and support parenting skills and the family's primary role in encouraging children's learning at each age and grade level. We will assist personnel to work effectively with our diverse families.

Communication: We will communicate about curriculum, instruction, assessment, staff development, school programs and student progress through timely and effective school-to-home, home-to-school, and school-to-community methods. An emphasis will be made to communicate effectively with those parents who have limited proficiency in English or literacy challenges.

Volunteering: We will encourage the recruitment, training, and recognition of family and community volunteers; we will provide opportunities for families and community members to contribute from home, the workplace, and other community-based sites.

Learning at Home: We will promote family involvement in learning activities at home including homework and other curriculum-related activities appropriate to the grade and development of the student.

Decision-making: We will include students, parents, and community members as partners in planning and decision-making. We will encourage participation by parents/guardians in decisions that affect their child's educational experiences and comply with state and federal laws and regulations pertinent to family involvement.

Collaborating with Community: We will coordinate resources and services for students and families with businesses, agencies, service organizations and other groups and provide services to the community through our volunteer efforts and community involvement projects.

#### **ACCESSIBILITY**

The school, to the extent practical, will provide parents with Limited English Proficiency opportunities to fully participate in school-sponsored activities by using translation at meetings and workshops when needed and by sending written notices in a language (to the extent possible) that parents understand.

## **Meadow Green Elementary School Compact**

It is important that families and schools work together to help students achieve high academic standards. Through a process that included teachers, families, students and community representatives, the following are agreed upon roles and responsibilities that we as partners will carry out to support student success in school and in life.

## Staff Pledge

As a school staff, we know the importance of the school experience for the whole community and therefore agree to share the following responsibilities:

- provide a safe, healthy, and nurturing learning environment for children
- work to address the individual needs of every student

- teach the district curriculum emphasizing grade level skills and concepts
- correct and return class work in a timely manner
- communicate homework and class work expectations to each child through written, verbal, and personal contact
- motivate my students to learn
- communicate regularly with families about student progress
- participate in professional development opportunities that improve teaching and learning and support the formation of partnerships with families and the community
- actively participate in collaborative decision making and consistently work with families and my school colleagues to make school accessible and a welcoming place for families which help each student achieve the school's

## high academic standards

· respect the school, the students, and our families

## **Student Pledge**

I realize that my education is important. I know I am the one responsible for my own success. Therefore, I agree to the following responsibilities:

- be responsible for my own behavior
- know and follow all school and class rules
- treat all school staff members and my fellow students with respect
- attend school regularly and be on time for class every day
- bring necessary materials to class each day
- return completed homework on time
- ask for help when I need assistance
- put forth my very best effort at all times
- discuss what I am learning at school with my parents
- limit my TV watching and instead study or read every day after school

## Family/Parent Pledge

I understand that participation in my child's education will greatly help his/her achievement and attitude. Therefore, I agree to carry out the following to the best of my ability:

- encourage my child to complete his/her homework and turn it in on time
- make time to talk to my child about his/her school activities everyday
- encourage my child to put forth his/her best effort
- provide a quiet place and specific time for my child to do homework and monitor TV viewing
- make sure my child gets adequate sleep and a healthy diet and gets to school on time
- encourage my child to read by reading with or to him/her every night
- support the school's discipline and attendance policies

- communicate with the teacher or the school when I have a concern
- make every effort to attend Back-To-School Night, Open House, Parent-Teacher Conferences, PTA meetings, and other school events
- communicate the importance of education and learning to my child
- volunteer at my child's school when possible

Student	Teacher	Parent/Guardian

## Area 4 LCAP Priority – Student Achievement

**Academic Performance Index (API)** 

The California accountability system measures the performance and progress of a school or district based on results of statewide tests administered in grades two through twelve. A school's Academic Performance Index (API) is a composite number representing the results of these tests and is reported as a numeric index (or scale) ranging from 200 to 1000.

The test results used in calculating a school's API have different emphases. The amount of emphasis each subject or content area has in the API for a particular school or district is determined by statewide test weights and by the number of students taking each type of test.

2013			14	20	15	
Base	Growth	Base	Growth	Base Growth		Three-Year Average*
914	912	N/A	N/A			909

<sup>\*</sup>The State Board of Education has suspended API for the 2013-2014 academic year. The formula to calculate the three-year average is: 2013 API + 2014 API + 2015 API divided by three.

English Learner Language Data												
English Learner Progress Toward Language Proficiency												
	2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16											
	Decrease	No Change	Increase	Decrease	No Change	Increase	Decrease	No Change	Increase	Decrease	No Change	Increase
# of Students	1	8	9	1	5	12	3	7	10	0	7	14
Percentage	5.6%	44.4%	50.0%	5.6%	27.8%	66.7%	15.0%	35.0%	50.0%	0%	29%	58%

**NOTE:** Numbers in this chart do not reflect the entire EL population, as kindergarten students are excluded as well as any student who does not have two years of California English Language Development Test (CELDT) scores.

English Learner Reclassification** RFEP = Reclassified Fluent English Proficient											
	2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16										
ELs	Eligible ELs *	RFEPs	ELs	Eligible ELs *	RFEPs	ELs	Eligible ELs *	RFEPs	ELs	Eligible ELs *	RFEPs
35	6	9	30	7	2	39	13	4	37	29	27

**NOTE:** EL numbers include those students prior to being Reclassified.

\*Eligible ELs: For students in K-2, English Learners whose overall CELDT score is Early Advanced or Advanced with a sub score of Intermediate or higher in Listening, Reading, Writing and Speaking. For students in 3-12, English Learners must also have a minimum CST scale score of 300 or above in English-language arts and mathematics.

\*\*Reclassification Criteria: 1) English Learners whose overall CELDT score is Early Advanced or Advanced with a sub score of Intermediate or higher in Listening, Reading, Writing and Speaking; 2) A minimum CST scale score of 300 or above in English-language arts and mathematics; 3) A score of BASIC or above in the core subjects on the Elementary Report Card; 4) Teacher evaluation; and 5) Parent input.

	CAASPP Results (All Students) – English Language Arts/Literacy								
	Overall Achievement								
Grade Level   Students   Students   Standard Met						Standard Not Met			
Grade 3	59	57	96.6	57	2466.0	42	25	25	9
Grade 4	69	68	98.6	68	2508.9	41	31	16	12
Grade 5	72	68	94.4	68	2587.3	63	22	9	6
Grade 6	60	60	100	60	2567.7	23	45	25	7
All Grades	260	253	97.3	253		43	30	18	8

	READING	WRITING	LISTENING	RESEARCH/INQUIRY
Grade Level	Demonstrating understanding of	Producing clear	Demonstrating effective	Investigating, analyzing, and
	literary & non-fictional texts	and purposeful writing	communication skills	presenting information

	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard									
Grade 3	37	60	4	28	60	12	32	60	9	47	35	18
Grade 4	38	47	15	31	57	12	24	68	9	38	54	7
Grade 5	54	37	9	57	40	3	41	54	4	65	34	1
Grade 6	23	58	18	45	45	10	17	82	2	38	53	8
All Grades	39	50	11	41	50	9	28	66	6	47	44	8

	CAASPP Results (All Students) – Mathematics								
	Overall Achievement								
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled	# of Students Tested	% of Enrolled Students Tested	# of Students With Scores	Mean Scale Score	Standard Exceeded	Standard Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Not Met
Grade 3	59	57	96.6	57	2464.0	33	33	21	12
Grade 4	69	68	98.6	68	2512.8	32	31	32	4
Grade 5	72	68	94.4	68	2567.3	46	21	25	9
Grade 6	60	60	100	60	2558.4	30	25	25	20
All Grades	260	253	97.3	253		36	27	26	11

	CONCEPTS & PROCEDURES		PROBLEM SOLVING & MODELING/DATA ANALYSIS			COMMUNICATING REASONING			
Grade Level	rade Level Applying mathematical concepts and procedures		Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems			Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions			
	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard	Above Standard	At or Near Standard	Below Standard
Grade 3	53	33	14	37	44	19	49	40	11
Grade 4	38	49	13	34	57	9	37	54	9
Grade 5	56	29	15	47	44	9	35	53	12
Grade 6	45	28	27	23	45	32	32	50	18
All Grades	48	35	17	36	48	17	38	50	12

Analysis of Educational Practices - Educational Practices Survey	
Strengths	Areas for Growth
Clear monitoring of student progress toward standards in daily work and benchmark testing An atmosphere of school trust, respect, and professionalism. Instruction focused on actively engaging students in the learning process; lessons regularly incorporate the use of communication, critical thinking, collaboration, and creativity. A rigorous curriculum is accessible to all students including ELs, SWDs, and atrisk youth.	Regularly examine student demographics and evaluate student growth toward learning goals. Fully implement PLC time to evaluate student work and student need.

Analysis of Educational Practices - Professional Learning Communities Survey					
Strengths	Areas for Growth				
PLC time is regularly scheduled to allow teachers to work collaboratively, discuss content standards, analyse data, and evaluate teaching practices					

Area 5	LCAP Pric	Priority – Student Engagement							
Four-Year Attendance Rate									
		2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16				
		Attendance Rate	Attendance Rate	Attendance Rate	Attendance Rate				
Meadow Green E	lementary	96.65%	96.73%	96.29%	97.90%				
District		96.70%	96.86%	96.66%	96.80%				

Chronic Absenteeism  Defined as a student who is abs	ent for any reason on ten percei	nt or more of the school days in t	the school year	
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16

	Chronic Absenteeism Rate	Chronic Absenteeism Rate	Chronic Absenteeism Rate	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
Meadow Green Elementary	5.8%	6.8%	5.6%	5.5%
District	6.0%	5.7%	6.0%	4.4%

Area 6 LCAP	riority – School Climate							
Four-Year Suspensions/Expulsions								
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16				
Suspensions	0.76	0.85	0.80	TBD				
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				

<sup>\*</sup>Suspension data represents the total number of partial or full-day suspensions for the school year. This data does not represent the number of events by unduplicated student counts, that is, one student may generate multiple suspension days.

Four-Year Mobility Rate							
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16			
Mobility							

Area 7	LCAP P	LCAP Priority – Course Access										
Number of Stude	ents On-Trac	k for Gradu	ation – Mea	dow Green	Elementary	School						
	2013 2014 2015 2016											
GRADE	Enrollment	# on Track	% on Track	Enrollment	# on Track	% on Track	Enrollment	# on Track	% on Track	Enrollment	# on Track	% on Track

Area 8	LCAP Prio	CAP Priority – Other Student Outcomes				
Physical Fitness	Physical Fitness					
Grade Leve	1	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards				

	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	19%	28%	33%

## **CRITICAL FINDINGS**

As a result of analyzing the eight state LCAP priorities, other local data, and educational practices, Meadow Green Elementary School has selected to focus on the following:

State Priorities	Challenges
LCAP Priority - Basic Services	
LCAP Priority - Implementation of the Common Core State Standards	
LCAP Priority - Parent Involvement	
LCAP Priority - Student Achievement	Not all students are reading at grade level or performing on grade level in ELA and math and therefore, are not meeting grade level standards. Additionally, there is a gap between the achievement of non-socioeconomically disadvantaged and the socioeconomically disadvantaged grades 3-6 (MG Gap: ELA -17 Math -21 State Gap: ELA -31 Math -34) and the white and Hispanic subgroups (MG Gap: ELA -6 Math -20 State Gap: ELA -27 Math -29).
	In comparing the scores at Meadow Green from 2015 to those of 2016, the gap in ELA SED students closed by 5 points, and in Math there was an increase in the gap of 11 points. Comparatively, the State gaps in ELA closed by 2 points, and in Math the gap increased 2 point for the SED population as compared to students who are not SED.
	In comparing the scores at Meadow Green from 2015 to those of 2016, the gap between White and Hispanic students in ELA closed by 16 points, and in Math 4 points. Comparatively, the State gaps in ELA closed by 3 points, and in Math the gap increased 1 point for the SED population as compared to students who are not SED.
LCAP Priority - Student Engagement	There is a correlation between excessive absentee rate and academic at-risk status. Improved attendance would benefit academic achievement. When students miss school, they miss out on critical learning opportunities.
LCAP Priority - School Climate	There are referrals to the office for Tier 2 and Tier 3 behaviors and therefore a need to continue to teach and reinforce respectful and responsible academic and social behavior in all students in all areas of school activity.
LCAP Priority - Course Access	

LCAP Priority - Other Student Outcomes

#### **ACTION PLAN**

## **District Strategic Goal:**

**Safe and Respectful Environment:** 

We foster a culture that promotes the emotional health, safety, well-being and involvement of students, staff, family and community

## LCAP Priority Area(s):

- 3.5) Suspension and expulsion rates will remain below the State and county rates as measured by the California Department of Education database.
- 3.6) 85% or more of the parent respondent will "Agree" or "Strongly Agree" with the statement, "My student is safe at school" as measured by the annual parent survey.

#### School Goal:

School Climate: Meadow Green will teach and encourage students to maintain appropriate behavior and social responsibility through various school support programs. Progress toward this goal will be monitored through improved parent survey results, increased number of PRIDE tickets, a low school suspension rate, and an overall student happiness to come to school.

- \* Maintain the high results of the Annual Parent survey from 2016 indicated that at least 90% of parents agree that the school encourages students to be responsible citizens.
- \* Maintain a low suspension rate of less than 1.0% as indicated by school records for the 2016-2017 school year.
- \*Annual Parent survey results from 2017 will indicate that 94% of parents report that their child is happy to go to school, an increase of 4% over the 2016 results.

Actions To Be Taken To Reach This Goal	Timeline	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
1.1 Implementation of the PRIDE (Personal Responsibility in Daily Effort) Program	August 2016 to May 2017		
1.2 Implementation of a School Wide Discipline Plan	August 2016 to May 2017		
1.3 The implementation of PBIS (Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports) will begin this school year with staff training.			
1.4 Project Wisdom and Bucket Filling Program implementation including morning announcements.	August 2016 to May 2017		
1.5 Great Kindness Week Challenge Participation	January 2017		

Actions To Be Taken To Reach This Goal	Timeline	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
1.6 Big Buddy Little Buddy Program between the special education preschool and regular education	,	•	
upper graders.			

Safe and Respectful Environment:

We foster a culture that promotes the emotional health, safety, well-being and involvement of students, staff, family and community

LCAP Priority Area(s): 3.3) Maintain the average attendance rate district wide at 96.5% or higher as measured by attendance data.

## **School Goal:**

By P2, Meadow Green will maintain its 97.9% attendance rate for the 2016-2017 school year.

Actions To Be Taken To Reach This Goal	Timeline	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
2.1 Periodic parent informational letters/newsletters stressing the importance of school attendance.	August 2016-May 2017		
2.2 Celebrate 100% attendance daily in classrooms with a "We're All Here Poster". Recognize these classes during morning announcements.	August 2016-May 2017		
2.3 Recognition for each class when they reach 10 days of perfect attendance. Class selects their class award.	,		
2.4 A SART and SARB process will be implemented for absenteeism and chronic absenteeism. Letters will be sent out at 6 and 12 excused absences. A doctors note will be required for 12+ absences. 12+ absences will trigger a SART. Truancy letters will be sent out at 3, 6, 9, and 13 unexcused absences. Six unexcused absences will trigger a SARB.			
2.5 Participation in the District Attendance Incentive Program	August 2016-May 2017		
2.6 Provide parents information on extracurricular activities through the school messenger system, school website, PTA website and PTA FB	August 2016-May 2017		

#### **Academic Excellence:**

We expect all students to demonstrate continued and improved academic achievement, through communication, critical thinking, and creativity, to be college and career ready, and to become lifelong learners.

## LCAP Priority Area(s):

- 1.2) Maintain 100% of students having access to standards aligned curriculum and materials as measured by the annual Williams Sufficiency of Materials Resolution.
- 2.1) Baseline data formative student achievement will be collected as measured by grade level benchmark assessments.
- 2.2) Student achievement will increase 3% over the 2015 baseline for all students as measured by the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) for English Language Arts and Math.
- 2.3) English learners will increase their academic achievement in English Language Arts 3% more than the white subgroup districtwide as measured by the District's benchmark assessment for ELA.
- 2.4) The percentage of English Learners attaining English proficiency who have been in the United States five years or longer will increase from 37.0% to 45% as measured by the California English Language Development Test (CELDT)

#### **School Goal:**

79% of students in grades 3-6 will score at meets or exceeds standards on the CAASSP Smarter Balanced Test 2017 in the area of ELA, an increase of 5% from the 2016 achievement rate.

Actions To Be Taken To Reach This Goal	Timeline	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
3.1 Students in grades 3-6 will be given a base line Dibels reading assessment three times a year.	September 2016, January 2017, and May 2017		
3.2 Maintain a master schedule that allows for 2 to 2.5 hours of ELA core instruction and 30 minutes of MTSS (Multi-tier System of Supports) three days a week with students being grouped by area of need.	September 2016 to May 2017		
3.3 Examination of student performance; identify focus standards; create short cycle formative assessments to monitor student mastery of standards and identify target students during PLC collaborations to develop plans to reteach for mastery.			
3.4 Focus on transition to Common Core State Standards - focus on non-fiction reading and writing. Purchase non-fiction materials including Scholastic	September 2016 to May 2017		

Actions To Be Taken To Reach This Goal	Timeline	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
News and Science Spin, leveled literacy books, Front Row and Reading Plus Online Program to monitor comprehension.			
3.5 Access to technology and Reading Plus and Front Row daily through the computer lab as well as in the classroom through iPads. Reading Plus will be available at home as well.	September 2016 to May 2017		
3.6 Staff trained in Reading Plus and Front Row and internet access to support videos.	September 2016		
3.7 Reading Plus and Front Row program parent letters explaining home access will be sent to each home, posted on the school website, and shared at parent conferences.	September 2016		
3.8 Students set and track personal goal for reading and achievement in the Reading Plus Program grades 3-6 and Front Row for grades K-6.	September 2016 to May 2017		
3.9 PLC time allotted to review and analyze Dibels and Reading Plus Lexile levels and student growth.	September 2016 to May 2017		
3.10 CCSS Resources identified and used in daily instruction.	September 2016 to May 2017		
3.11 Stress importance of reading and student reading goals and growth at parent conferences.	November 2016		
3.12 Access to EDI Common Core based lesson plans.	September 2016		

#### **Academic Excellence:**

We expect all students to demonstrate continued and improved academic achievement, through communication, critical thinking, and creativity, to be college and career ready, and to become lifelong learners.

## LCAP Priority Area(s):

- 1.2) Maintain 100% of students having access to aligned curriculum and materials as measured by the annual Williams Sufficiency of Materials Resolution.
- 2.1) Baseline data formative student achievement will be collected as measured by grade level benchmark assessments.
- 2.2) Student achievement will increase 3% over the 2015 baseline for all students as measured by the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) for English Language Arts and Math.

#### School Goal:

68% of students in grades 3-6 will score at meets or exceeds standards on the CAASSP Smarter Balanced Test 2017 in the area of Math, an increase of 5% from the 2016 achievement rate.

Actions To Be Taken To Reach This Goal	Timeline	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
4.1 Continued implementation of the GO Math standards based adoption.	August 2016-May 2017		
4.2 Monitor student progress throughout the year through classroom and trimester benchmark tests.	August 2016-May 2017		
4.3 Support math concept development through the use of the Mind Institute's ST Math Program.	August 2016-May 2017		
4.4 Teach and support problem solving strategies daily in the classroom. Use TIPS strategies for math problem solving.	,		
4.5 Hold a Common Core Family Night Festival	Spring 2017		
4.6 Recognize students for the progress and completion of ST Math.	August 2016-May 2017		

Academic Excellence: We expect all students to demonstrate continued and improved academic achievement, through communication, critical thinking, and creativity, to be college and career ready, and to become lifelong learners.

## LCAP Priority Area(s):

- 2.3) English learners will increase their academic achievement in English Language Arts 3% or more than the white subgroup district wide as measured by the District's benchmark assessment for ELA.
- 2.4) The percentage of English Learners attaining English proficiency who have been in the United States five years or longer will increase from 37% to 45% as measured by the California English Language Development Test (CELDT)
- 2.5) Increase English leaner reclassification rates 5% as measured by the California English Language Development Test (CELDT)

#### **School Goal:**

To support the District goal, the following actions will be implemented.

Actions To Be Taken To Reach This Goal	Timeline	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
EL.1 Teachers will provide a separate, daily 30 minute block of time devoted to ELD instuction for EL students daily. When possible, students will be grouped by language proficiency level for this instructional time.	August 2016 to May 2017		
EL.2 ELAC committee will calendar and hold four meetings a year.	September 2016		
EL.3 Principal will visit classrooms at least every 4 weeks to monitor implementation of ELD instruction.	August 2016 to May 2017		
EL.4 English Learners, including long term English Learners, scoring in the Standard Not Met band or not meeting standard in ELA will receive strategic after school intervention.	intervention classes for		
EL.5 Principal will set a goal to increase parent participation of English Learner parents by encouraging the establishing an ELAC committe for the 2016-17 school year.	September 2016		
EL.6 Teachers will use GLAD, EDI, and TAPPLE	August 2016 to May 2017:		

Actions To Be Taken To Reach This Goal	Timeline	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
strategies in daily instruction to support English	Walk through observations.		
Language Learners.			

#### TITLE I, PART A – TARGETED ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

The Meadow Green Elementary School is a Title I Targeted Assistance school. This means that the school receives additional resources from the state and federal governments that enable us to provide supplemental instructional services to identified students. This supplemental instruction is provided in English Language Arts and Mathematics. Supplemental support services are also provided to students who are most at-risk of failing to meet grade-level standards.

Targeted Assistance Criteria are as follows:

.

Grades 1

- Students who score below 80% on the Basic Phonics Skills Test
- Students who know less than 35 fry words
- Teacher/administrator judgement

Grade 2

- Students who score below grade level, less than 75%, on the District End-of Year Benchmark Test
- Students who score below 60 % on the Second Grade Beginning of the Year Diagnostic Test
- Teacher/administrator judgement

•

Grade 3

- Students who score below grade level, less than 75%, on the District End-of Year Benchmark Test
- Students who are one or more years below grade level Lexile level as measured by the "Stretch" Lexile Band Grade 2-3 is 420L-820L
- Teacher/administrator judgement

•

Grade 4-6

- Students who score Below Standards on the Smarter Balanced Assessment Test
- Students who are one or more years below grade level Lexile level as measured by the "Stretch" Lexile Band Grade 4-5 is 740L-1010L Grade 6-8 is 925L-1185L
- Teacher/administrator judgement

Closing the achievement gap between high and low performing children (students meeting the Targeted Assistance Criteria) and especially the achievement gap between minority and non-minority students, and between disadvantaged children and their more advantaged peers is a focus of Meadow Green Elementary School. As a result extended learning opportunities are afforded to students as follows:

Actions to be taken to reach this goal	Timeline	Amount	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
The Single Plan for Student Achievement		31 of 38		11/3/16

Actions to be taken to reach this goal	Timeline		A	mount		Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
TI.1 Teachers will ensure that the standards are being taught through the use of the adopted ELA curriculum and will supplement as necessary to ensure that the rigor of the standards is being met with daily focus groups for targeted students.	_	to	Outlined in Goal	School	Priority		
TI.2 Teachers will develop Common Formative Assessments to administer in between benchmark tests and dialogue about progress and instructional strategies for struggling and at-risk student in ELA during PLC time.	_	to	Outlined in Goal	School	Priority		
TI.3 Teachers will meet regularly during PLC meetings to examine student performance and assessment data to monitor student mastery toward grade level standards and identify Title I students' areas of need and plan interventions for the 30 minute intervention block time.	_	to	Outlined in Goal	School	Priority		
TI.4 Teachers will provide a separate 30 minute block of time devoted to ELA differentiated instruction three times a week. Students will be grouped by lexile levels and/or skill needs during this targeted instructional time.	_	to	N/A				
TI.5 The principal will visit classrooms monthly to monitor the implementation of targeted 30 minute ELA block and focus group instruction.		to	N/A				
TI.6 Teachers will continue to implement Explicit Direct Instruction (EDI) strategies, TAPPLE strategies, and GLAD strategies to	_	to	N/A				

Actions to be taken to reach this goal	Timeline	Amount	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
ensure effective instruction and student participation with a focus on Title I students.				
TI.7 Before and After School targeted intervention will be offered to at-risk students for a minimum of one hour per week for T-K and kindergarten students and two hours per week for grades 1-6. Personnel costs.	to May 2017	\$10,000 (Intervention Fund)		
TI.8 Small group targeted intervention provided during the school day for at-risk students		\$18,460 (Title I Funds)		
TI.9 Release time for teacher grade level collaboration time to deconstruct standards and align instruction to standards twices a year.	May 2017	\$3,680 (Title I funds)		

## Additionally, as designated by NCLB:

- Curriculum and instructional materials used in every classroom are aligned with challenging state academic standards
- The school meets the educational needs of low achieving children in school, including English Learners, Students with Disabilities, and students in need of reading assistance
- Affording parents substantial and meaningful opportunities to participate in the education of their children (see section 6)
- Teachers provide scientifically-based research instructional models to provide students with the opportunity to meet the academic achievement standards. Students are provided an accelerated, high quality curriculum
- Instruction is provided in the regular classroom during regular schools hours, after school or before school.

## TITLE I ACTION PLAN: PARENT AND COMMUNITY SUPPORT

As a requirement under Title I, 1% or more of school funds will be used for Parent Support.

Actions to be taken to reach this goal	Timeline	Amount	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
PC.1 The Parent Institute for Quality Education (PIQE) will be offered to parents of Title I students to provide understanding of the school system, home and community, identify effective parent involvement, and overcome obstacles to school success.	to May 2016	District Centralized Funds		
PC.2 Annual parent Information Meeting for Title I parents.	September 2016	September 7, 2016		
PC.3 Home and School Connection Newsletter to emphasize school success, positive parenting, discipline, academic and life skills, parent involvement, and character development.	to May 2017	\$219 - English Version \$119 - Spanish Version		
PC.4 Language translators to assist families, as needed.	September 2016 to May 2017	District Centralized Funds		
PC.5 Maintain regular communication to parents of useful notices; newsletters; telephone calls; information on school website; and other communications.	to May 2017	\$200		
PC.6 Provide information for parents on Internet safety.	September 2016 to May 2017	\$200		
PC.7 Provide information for families on knowledge and skills required in all subjects at each grade level.	·	\$62		
PC.8 Provide information about local libraries and available activities and programs.	1 '	N/A		

Actions to be taken to reach this goal	Timeline	Amount	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
PC.9 Encourage family participation is setting student goals each year in math and ELA activities and in planning for college and career. Provide brochure and information.	to May 2017	\$176		
PC.10 Hold a Common Core Family Math Festival	Spring 2017	\$2500		

## TITLE I PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT SET-ASIDE

Actions to be taken to reach this goal	Timeline	Amount	Mid-year Evaluation	End-of-Year Results
PD.1 Provide professional development in ELA and Math as recommended by the school leadership team.	·			
PD.2 Teacher training in PBIS (Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports Tier 2) \$2250 fee \$2,000 subs \$2200 signs \$1500 rewards and incentives Teacher release time for training, planning, implementation and review of PBIS Program \$1196	to May 2017	\$9146		
PD.3 TI.8 All teachers will be retrained in Thinking Maps during the 2016-2017 school year. Trainer of trainers model	· •			
PD.4 Reading Plus, Lexia Core 5, and Front Row Teacher Training	September 2016			
PD.5 Math Professional Development	August 2016 to October 2017	District Centralized Funds		

## **CURRENT CONDITION AT SCHOOL SITE WITH PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT STATUS**

## **SCHOOL SITE COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP**

California Education Code Section 64001(g) requires that the Single Plan for Student Achievement be reviewed and updated at least annually, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated through the Consolidated Application, by the School Site Council (SSC). The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

Name of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Students
Liz Kaneshiro	X				
Carmen Bonilla				X	
Gail Crabtree		X			
Susie Perez		X			
Darleene Pullen			X		
Lillian Wartian		X			
Patricia Garcia - EL Parent				X	
Edna Tristan				Х	
Jennifer Lutfi				Х	
Selena Quirarte				X	
Numbers of members of each category:	1	3	1	5	

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS AND ASSURANCES**

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and Proposed Expenditure(s)s to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
- 3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):

Χ	English Learner Advisory Committee	
		Signature

- 4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
- 5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
- 6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 10/07/2015.

Attested:

Liz Kaneshiro		
Typed Name of School Principal	Signature of School Principal	Date
Carmen Bonilla		
Typed Name of SSC Chairperson	Signature of SSC Chairperson	Date